

# Probability Theory

*“If any little problem comes your way, I shall be happy, if I can, to give you a hint or two as to its solution.”*

**Sherlock Holmes**

*The Adventure of the Three Students*

- 1.1 a. Each sample point describes the result of the toss (H or T) for each of the four tosses. So, for example THTT denotes T on 1st, H on 2nd, T on 3rd and T on 4th. There are  $2^4 = 16$  such sample points.
- b. The number of damaged leaves is a nonnegative integer. So we might use  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .
- c. We might observe fractions of an hour. So we might use  $S = \{t : t \geq 0\}$ , that is, the half infinite interval  $[0, \infty)$ .
- d. Suppose we weigh the rats in ounces. The weight must be greater than zero so we might use  $S = (0, \infty)$ . If we know no 10-day-old rat weighs more than 100 oz., we could use  $S = (0, 100]$ .
- e. If  $n$  is the number of items in the shipment, then  $S = \{0/n, 1/n, \dots, 1\}$ .
- 1.2 For each of these equalities, you must show containment in both directions.
- a.  $x \in A \setminus B \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \notin A \cap B \Leftrightarrow x \in A \setminus (A \cap B)$ . Also,  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \in B^c \Leftrightarrow x \in A \cap B^c$ .
- b. Suppose  $x \in B$ . Then either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in A^c$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in B \cap A$ , and, hence  $x \in (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ . Thus  $B \subset (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ . Now suppose  $x \in (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ . Then either  $x \in (B \cap A)$  or  $x \in (B \cap A^c)$ . If  $x \in (B \cap A)$ , then  $x \in B$ . If  $x \in (B \cap A^c)$ , then  $x \in B$ . Thus  $(B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c) \subset B$ . Since the containment goes both ways, we have  $B = (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ . (Note, a more straightforward argument for this part simply uses the Distributive Law to state that  $(B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c) = B \cap (A \cup A^c) = B \cap S = B$ .)
- c. Similar to part a).
- d. From part b).  
 $A \cup B = A \cup [(B \cap A) \cup (B \cap A^c)] = A \cup (B \cap A) \cup A \cup (B \cap A^c) = A \cup [A \cup (B \cap A^c)] = A \cup (B \cap A^c)$ .
- 1.3 a.  $x \in A \cup B \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  or  $x \in B \Leftrightarrow x \in B \cup A$   
 $x \in A \cap B \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \in B \Leftrightarrow x \in B \cap A$ .
- b.  $x \in A \cup (B \cup C) \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  or  $x \in B \cup C \Leftrightarrow x \in A \cup B$  or  $x \in C \Leftrightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \cup C$ .  
 (It can similarly be shown that  $A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup C) \cup B$ .)  
 $x \in A \cap (B \cap C) \Leftrightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  and  $x \in C \Leftrightarrow x \in (A \cap B) \cap C$ .
- c.  $x \in (A \cup B)^c \Leftrightarrow x \notin A$  or  $x \notin B \Leftrightarrow x \in A^c$  and  $x \in B^c \Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \cap B^c$   
 $x \in (A \cap B)^c \Leftrightarrow x \notin A \cap B \Leftrightarrow x \notin A$  and  $x \notin B \Leftrightarrow x \in A^c$  or  $x \in B^c \Leftrightarrow x \in A^c \cup B^c$ .
- 1.4 a. “A or B or both” is  $A \cup B$ . From Theorem 1.2.9b we have  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ .

b. “ $A$  or  $B$  but not both” is  $(A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} P((A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c)) &= P(A \cap B^c) + P(B \cap A^c) && \text{(disjoint union)} \\ &= [P(A) - P(A \cap B)] + [P(B) - P(A \cap B)] && \text{(Theorem 1.2.9a)} \\ &= P(A) + P(B) - 2P(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

c. “At least one of  $A$  or  $B$ ” is  $A \cup B$ . So we get the same answer as in a).

d. “At most one of  $A$  or  $B$ ” is  $(A \cap B)^c$ , and  $P((A \cap B)^c) = 1 - P(A \cap B)$ .

1.5 a.  $A \cap B \cap C = \{\text{a U.S. birth results in identical twins that are female}\}$

b.  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = \frac{1}{90} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}$

1.6

$$p_0 = (1 - u)(1 - w), \quad p_1 = u(1 - w) + w(1 - u), \quad p_2 = uw,$$

$$p_0 = p_2 \Rightarrow u + w = 1$$

$$p_1 = p_2 \Rightarrow uw = 1/3.$$

These two equations imply  $u(1 - u) = 1/3$ , which has no solution in the real numbers. Thus, the probability assignment is not legitimate.

1.7 a.

$$P(\text{scoring } i \text{ points}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\pi r^2}{A} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{\pi r^2}{A} \left[ \frac{(6-i)^2 - (5-i)^2}{5^2} \right] & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, 5. \end{cases}$$

b.

$$P(\text{scoring } i \text{ points} | \text{board is hit}) = \frac{P(\text{scoring } i \text{ points} \cap \text{board is hit})}{P(\text{board is hit})}$$

$$P(\text{board is hit}) = \frac{\pi r^2}{A}$$

$$P(\text{scoring } i \text{ points} \cap \text{board is hit}) = \frac{\pi r^2}{A} \left[ \frac{(6-i)^2 - (5-i)^2}{5^2} \right] \quad i = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Therefore,

$$P(\text{scoring } i \text{ points} | \text{board is hit}) = \frac{(6-i)^2 - (5-i)^2}{5^2} \quad i = 1, \dots, 5$$

which is exactly the probability distribution of Example 1.2.7.

1.8 a.  $P(\text{scoring exactly } i \text{ points}) = P(\text{inside circle } i) - P(\text{inside circle } i + 1)$ . Circle  $i$  has radius  $(6 - i)r/5$ , so

$$P(\text{scoring exactly } i \text{ points}) = \frac{\pi(6-i)^2 r^2}{5^2 \pi r^2} - \frac{\pi((6-(i+1)))^2 r^2}{5^2 \pi r^2} = \frac{(6-i)^2 - (5-i)^2}{5^2}.$$

b. Expanding the squares in part a) we find  $P(\text{scoring exactly } i \text{ points}) = \frac{11-2i}{25}$ , which is decreasing in  $i$ .

c. Let  $P(i) = \frac{11-2i}{25}$ . Since  $i \leq 5$ ,  $P(i) \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .  $P(S) = P(\text{hitting the dartboard}) = 1$  by definition. Lastly,  $P(i \cup j) = \text{area of } i \text{ ring} + \text{area of } j \text{ ring} = P(i) + P(j)$ .

1.9 a. Suppose  $x \in (\cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^c$ , by the definition of complement  $x \notin \cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ , that is  $x \notin A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x \in A_{\alpha}^c$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $x \in \cap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^c$  and, by the definition of intersection  $x \in A_{\alpha}^c$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . By the definition of complement  $x \notin A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x \notin \cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ . Thus  $x \in (\cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^c$ .

- b. Suppose  $x \in (\cap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^c$ , by the definition of complement  $x \notin (\cap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})$ . Therefore  $x \notin A_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x \in A_{\alpha}^c$  for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $x \in \cup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^c$  and, by the definition of union,  $x \in A_{\alpha}^c$  for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x \notin A_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x \notin \cap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ . Thus  $x \in (\cap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^c$ .

1.10 For  $A_1, \dots, A_n$

$$(i) \quad \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i^c \quad (ii) \quad \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right)^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^c$$

Proof of (i): If  $x \in (\cup A_i)^c$ , then  $x \notin \cup A_i$ . That implies  $x \notin A_i$  for any  $i$ , so  $x \in A_i^c$  for every  $i$  and  $x \in \cap A_i$ .

Proof of (ii): If  $x \in (\cap A_i)^c$ , then  $x \notin \cap A_i$ . That implies  $x \in A_i^c$  for some  $i$ , so  $x \in \cup A_i^c$ .

1.11 We must verify each of the three properties in Definition 1.2.1.

- a. (1) The empty set  $\emptyset \in \{\emptyset, S\}$ . Thus  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ . (2)  $\emptyset^c = S \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $S^c = \emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ . (3)  $\emptyset \cup S = S \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- b. (1) The empty set  $\emptyset$  is a subset of any set, in particular,  $\emptyset \subset S$ . Thus  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ . (2) If  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $A \subset S$ . By the definition of complementation,  $A^c$  is also a subset of  $S$ , and, hence,  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}$ . (3) If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ , then, for each  $i$ ,  $A_i \subset S$ . By the definition of union,  $\cup A_i \subset S$ . Hence,  $\cup A_i \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- c. Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be the two sigma algebras. (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}_2$  since  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are sigma algebras. Thus  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ . (2) If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are both sigma algebra  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Therefore  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ . (3) If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ , then  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Therefore, since  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are both sigma algebra,  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Thus  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ .

1.12 First write

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cup \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \\ &= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P\left(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \quad (A_i\text{s are disjoint}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) + P\left(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \quad (\text{finite additivity}) \end{aligned}$$

Now define  $B_k = \cup_{i=k}^{\infty} A_i$ . Note that  $B_{k+1} \subset B_k$  and  $B_k \rightarrow \phi$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . (Otherwise the sum of the probabilities would be infinite.) Thus

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) + P(B_{n+1}) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i).$$

- 1.13 If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint,  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{13}{12}$ , which is impossible. More generally, if  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, then  $A \subset B^c$  and  $P(A) \leq P(B^c)$ . But here  $P(A) > P(B^c)$ , so  $A$  and  $B$  cannot be disjoint.
- 1.14 If  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , then any subset of  $S$  can be constructed by either including or excluding  $s_i$ , for each  $i$ . Thus there are  $2^n$  possible choices.
- 1.15 Proof by induction. The proof for  $k = 2$  is given after Theorem 1.2.14. Assume true for  $k$ , that is, the entire job can be done in  $n_1 \times n_2 \times \dots \times n_k$  ways. For  $k + 1$ , the  $k + 1$ th task can be done in  $n_{k+1}$  ways, and for each one of these ways we can complete the job by performing