

# Chapter 2

## The Nation State System: National Power, Balance of Power and Collective Security

*S. R. T. P. Sugunakara Raju*

### **Learning Objectives**

- To analyse the states system and to explain its evolution, characteristic features and contemporary relevance
- To comprehend the realist concept of national power, its constituent elements and various methods of exercising it
- To examine the concept of balance of power, different methods of power balancing and its contemporary relevance
- To understand the collective security system and its working both under the League of Nations and the United Nations Organization (UNO)

### **Teaching Aids**

- Before discussing the role of the nation state system in international relations, the instructor should explain the concepts of state and nation and the competing theoretical perspectives on them. Trace the evolution of the modern state system with reference to Reformation and total transformation that occurred in the medieval European society.
- Explain the contemporary state system and the impact of globalization on the nature and role of the state—how the transformation comes about from a welfare state to a market-oriented minimal state.

- Discuss essential elements of national power and assess its role in foreign policy formulation and execution.
- Discuss differing definitions, assumptions and techniques of balance of power and evaluate its contemporary relevance.
- Explain the liberal ideological underpinnings of the collective security system and how it is a superior method of maintaining international peace and security to the balance of power system.
- Explain how during the Cold War, when collective security system was rendered dysfunctional, peacekeeping evolved as a way to limit the scope of conflict and prevent it from escalating into a Cold War confrontation. Suitable examples from the UN peacekeeping operations in different countries can be given to elucidate the concept of peacekeeping and peacebuilding in post-conflict situations.

# International Politics

Concepts, Theories and Issues

Rumki Basu

2e



## **The Nation State System: National Power, Balance of Power and Collective Security**

*S. R. T. P. Sugunakara Raju*



# The Nation State System

- The academic study of ‘the states system’ or ‘the nation state system’ is essentially a realist theoretical construction and a way of explaining international relations.
- The modern state system with its territorial sovereignty came into existence first in Europe in the wake of the Treaty of Westphalia, 1648, and spread to the rest of the world in subsequent times. It emerged during the era of Reformation, from the medieval political climate of disorder, instability, autarchic and closed feudal peasant economy.
- Territorial sovereignty, nationalism, sovereign equality of states and national independence have been the organizing principles and characteristic features of the modern state system.



## The Contemporary State System and Globalization

- The contemporary state system is an extension and development of the European state system as it developed in the late 18th and 19th centuries. According to K. J. Holsti, this is characterized by the following features: the rise in the number and type of states; the rise and predominance of essentially non-European states such as Russia, China and the United States; the development of nuclear weapons; the growing importance of non-state actors such as national liberation movements and multinational corporations; and great degree of dependence and interdependence between all types of actors.
- In the wake of globalization, the relevance and role of the state has been the focus of intense academic and political debate. Some liberal scholars argue that the state's power is declining and the power of the markets is ascending. Some other scholars believe that the technological changes and liberalization of international trade, production and finance have dealt a decisive blow to the formerly unchallenged position of the state.
- However, the neorealist scholars reject these arguments and claim that the state still continues to be a primary actor in international politics. For realists, there is no threat to the state and the state system will continue to be relevant.



# National Power or Capabilities and Its Elements

- Morgenthau defines national power as the power of certain individuals of a nation who exercise it in pursuance of the policies of a nation. According to E. H. Carr, national power may be divided into three categories: military power, economic power and power over public opinion. As it is difficult to accurately measure the power of states, the contemporary structural realists have introduced the concept of 'capabilities' in the place of power. Kenneth Waltz suggests that the capabilities of states can be ranked in terms of criteria such as the size of population and territory, resource endowment, economic capability, military strength, political strength and competence.
- A constant evaluation of national power is necessary for the success of foreign policy. While evaluating their own power and the power of other nations, states must keep in mind three things: (a) the relativity of power, which means that power is always relative and not absolute, (b) no particular element or factor of power is permanent and is subject to change and (c) overriding importance is not to be given to one single factor to the detriment of all other factors.



# Balance of Power

- There is no agreement among scholars as to the precise meaning of ‘balance of power’. It is variously defined as balance or equilibrium or ‘a certain amount of stability’ in international relations.
- According to Chris Brown, the root idea behind balance of power is the notion that only force can counteract the effect of force and that, in an anarchical world, stability, predictability and regularity can only occur when the forces that states are able to exert to get their way in the world are in some kind of equilibrium. Brown, however, uses the imagery of a chandelier to distinguish between simple and complex types of balance of power.





## Assumptions of Balance of Power

According to Quincy Wright, the following are the major assumptions that underlie the balance of power system (Brown and Ainley, 2005: 223–24):

- States are determined to protect their vital interests (such as independence, territorial integrity, security and so on) by the means at their disposal, including war.
- Vital interests of the states are or may be threatened. Otherwise, there would be no need for a state that wants to preserve the status quo to concern itself with power relationships.
- The relative power positions of states can be measured with a significant degree of accuracy and these power calculations can be projected onto the future.
- A situation of ‘balance’ will either deter the threatening state from launching an attack or permit the victim to avoid defeat if an attack should occur.
- Statesmen can and will make foreign policy decisions intelligently on the basis of power considerations. If this were not possible, the deliberate balancing of power could not occur.



# Different Methods of Establishing and Maintaining Balance of Power



Various methods are employed to establish or maintain balance of power:

- The adjustment of power by domestic measures
- Alliances and counter-alliances
- Armaments and disarmament
- Divide and rule
- Compensation
- Intervention and non-intervention
- Use of buffer states

# The Relevance of Balance of Power Today



- What is the relevance or validity of the theory of balance of power in this post-Cold War unipolar world?
- It is argued that certain developments such as expansion of democracy, the growth of interdependence among nations and the rise of international institutions are necessarily promoting peaceful international relations and are, thereby, rendering realism and its concepts, such as balance of power, obsolete.
- Similarly, issues such as increasing economic interdependence and globalization are also said to have made balancing of power among nations irrelevant.
- Realist and neorealist scholars, however, refute these arguments and contend that unipolarity is the least durable of all international power configurations and it will be replaced by multipolarity, thereby making balance of power relevant.
- Another factor that makes power balancing relevant even today is the ideological rivalry, especially among the great powers. 'Containment of communism' and expansion of 'liberal zone of peace' always remain the primary foreign policy goals of the United States and its liberal democratic allies. In this sense, balance of power will continue to be relevant for a long time to come.

# Defining Collective Security and Its Assumptions



- Different writers have defined collective security differently. According to George Schwarzenberger, collective security may be defined as a 'machinery for joint action to prevent or counter any attack on an established international order' (1964: 379).
- According to Inis L. Claude Jr, collective security is a device for the 'management of power'.
- The collective security ideal assumes that although wars are likely to occur, they should be prevented, and they are prevented by restraint of military action. In other words, wars will not occur if all parties exercise restraint. Another assumption is that aggressors should be stopped. This assumption presumes that the aggressor can be identified easily by other members of the international community.
- Collective security ideal is based on certain liberal or utopian assumptions about war and peace. It is essentially founded on the liberal notion of 'harmony of interests' among nations.

## Collective Security System under the League of Nations and the UNO



- Under the League of Nations, the system of collective security was conceived as an instrument of thwarting any attempts of aggression. However, because of the disagreements and differences among the major powers that won the First World War, the League of Nations could only vaguely institutionalize the idea of collective security, which lacked adequate provisions (Saksena, 1974: 10).
- Unwilling to return to the balance of power system in the maintenance of international peace and security, the founding fathers of the UN wanted to create a collective security system by which they meant a world organization that can and will maintain the peace by force if necessary. There was a widespread desire to create a world organization with effective powers to maintain international peace and an improved version of collective security system.
- During the Cold War period, the collective security system was not successful because of the political, military and ideological rivalry between the two superpowers. The major limitation of collective security system under the UN is that collective enforcement action cannot be taken against any of the five permanent members of the Security Council as they are endowed with the veto power. Determination of aggressor became an impossible task in the armed conflict situations, as these great powers used or threatened to use their veto power in protecting their allies, thereby rendering collective security system dysfunctional. The collective enforcement action was made possible in the case of the Korean War, mainly because of the absence of the Soviet Union from the Security Council during that time.



# Peacekeeping and Peacebuilding

- During the Cold War, when collective security system was rendered dysfunctional, peacekeeping evolved as a way to limit the scope of conflict and prevent it from escalating into a Cold War confrontation. Peacekeeping operations fall into two types or generations. In the first generation of peacekeeping, the focus was on controlling conflicts between states through third-party military forces. Peacekeeping forces are drawn often from small and neutral non-permanent members of the Security Council. The tasks of these forces include preventing escalation of conflict and keeping warring parties apart until the dispute can be settled. These multinational forces operate under the auspices of the UN, supervising armistices, maintaining ceasefire and physically interposing themselves in a buffer zone between warring parties.
- Peacebuilding involves developing social, political and economic infrastructure to prevent further violence and consolidate peace.